


Evaluation overview: UNICA IRO meeting, King's College London, 17-18 April 2018


Total number of responses received: 20

I. Motivation



II. Organisation


1. Please evaluate the following elements (5: very good, 4: good, 3: average, 2: poor, 1: very poor)




Comments (original wording):

- I would like to recommend to the organizers to reserve more time for discussion/workshops on specific topics
- Do not ask for arrival/departure time in registration form, since no use seems to be made of that information

2. Please evaluate the following elements (5: very good, 4: good, 3: average, 2: poor, 1: very poor)




3. Please evaluate the following sessions (5: very good, 4: good, 3: average, 2: poor, 1: very poor)




Comment (1, original wording):

The closing session was a bit of mixed bag and lacked coherence. The sessions were good but maybe some more diversity in the format would have been good. Now it was a bit too much 'Presentation + Q&A'.


III. Opportunities provided and level of satisfaction







IV. Follow-up: The next, 25th anniversary UNICA IRO meeting will be hosted by Tallinn University and Tallinn University of Technology, 7-9 May 2019. Please evaluate your interest and the relevance of the following topics which have been preselected for the next meeting's agenda.



Which other topics would you like to be addressed at the 25th IRO meeting 2019 in Tallinn, 7-9 May 2019? (comment section, original wording):

- Erasmus Plus strategic partnership/capacity building
- Crisis management toolkit (as promised in London)

What is your overall opinion of the UNICA IRO meeting 2018?

